

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,912	01/11/2002	Timothy Jay Smith	9D-EC-19746/064853.027	4769
29391 . 7	7590 04/25/2006		EXAMINER	
	LTER SANKS MORA	HAQ, NAEEM U		
390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500 ORLANDO, FL 32801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3625	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner Neem Hag 3825 Period for Repty AR Unit Neem Hag 3825 ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIRS COMMUNICATION. Exherence from any be available used the provisions of 37 CFF 1.136(a), in a view. However, may are pub to timery find 1 Pro period for reply is a goodfled above, the maximum statutory period will apply and vill expire 30 K, 0 MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which he ext or extended period for reply is applicated period for reply is applicated period for reply in a posterior dependent of the maximum statutory period will apply and vill expire 30 K, 0 MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. Failure to reply which he extended period for reply is applicated period for reply in a posterior of the communication. Failure to reply which he core of extended period for reply is period period for reply in a posterior of the communication. Failure to reply which he core of extended period for reply is period period for reply in a posterior. Failure to reply which he core of extended period for reply is period period for reply in a posterior. Failure to reply which he core does not a period period for reply in a posterior. Failure to reply which he core does not reply and the mallow of the communication. Failure to reply application is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Naem Haq 3825 Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maining date of this communication. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maining date of this communication. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maining date of this communication. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maining date of this communication. If NO period for regly is specified above, the maining date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any consideration and plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on (35 February 2006. 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 5 ■ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ■ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10 ■ The drawing(s) field on is/are: all accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ■ The drawing(s) field on is/are: all accepted or by the paper Neyloy the Examiner. 11 ■ Certified co		10/042,912	SMITH, TIMOTHY JAY				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edemisors for imam plue a variable under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.1360, in overt, however, may reply be timely filed and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the SR (9) &COTTES from the mailing date of this communication, and the same plant of the specification from the mailing date of this communication, and the same plant of the specification is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any centre guestre than adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.74(6). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0.9 February 2008.</u> 23) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) Is and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) Is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 11) The other of declaration is objected to by the E	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6), in no sent, horsever, may a reply be limity fleed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6), in no sent, horsever, may a reply be limity fleed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (9) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) (10) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. 11-13(6) MONTHS fr		Naeem Haq	3625				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ediministry of this map by a swildbur bart the provides of 37 CR1 13(a). In a over, history, may reply be timely field after 5tk (6) MONTIST from the mailing date of this communication. In Morphoto the reply in specified of the communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three mentils after the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three mentils after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patter timer adjustment. Sea 37 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mentils is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oratberson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Fe	bruary 2006.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
A) Claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Olter: 6 Other: 6 Oth	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) □ Other:	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Reper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cother:	Attachment(s)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the Applicant's amendment filed February 3, 2006.

Claims 5-7 have been cancelled. Claims 1-4 and 8 are currently pending and will be considered for examination.

The amendment to claim 4 is sufficient to overcome the objection to the claim.

The claim objection is hereby withdrawn.

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panelli et al. (US 2002/0095345 A1) ("Panelli") in view of Chen et al. "Manufacturer-supplier relationship in a JIT environment" ("Chen").

Referring to claim 1: Panelli discloses a computer-based method for managing delivery of goods from a supplier to a buyer, said computer-based method programmed to accommodate a situation that arises when the buyer requests an update to an order placed by the buyer, said delivery generally involving at least one delivery agent, at least one store, at least one supplier, and a buyer (Figure 3), wherein the at least one

store and the at least one supplier are accessible through a communications network (Figure 3), said method comprising:

- providing a Web page including a first data filed for inputting an original purchase order identifier for identifying an order originally placed by the buyer (Figure 6, item "128");
- providing a second data field in the Web page for inputting a new purchase order identifier for identifying an updated order placed by the buyer (Figure 6, item "118");
- processing the original purchase order identifier for retrieving original
 order information associated with said original purchase order (paragraph
 [0052]: "The purchase order information is used by the electronic information system 64
 in billing the customer...");
- processing the new purchase order identifier for retrieving updated order information associated with said updated order (Figure 12; paragraph [0059]).

Panelli does not disclose a plurality of buyers, or that the delivery agent is accessible through a communications network. However, the Examiner notes that this limitation appears only in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*,

187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). In the present case, the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness because the steps of the method can be performed without a plurality of buyers or without a delivery agent that is accessible through a communications network. Panelli also does not disclose the step of relating said new purchase order identifier to an original delivery slot assigned to the original purchase order, or the step of assigning the original delivery slot to the updated order so that said original delivery slot is kept notwithstanding modifications made by buyer to the original order. However, Chen discloses a purchase order that has delivery schedule (i.e. delivery slot) (page 6, paragraph 4). Furthermore, Chen discloses that "In a JIT system where buffer stocks have been removed, dependable deliveries are vital, as failure of a supplier to keep to a delivery schedule could close down a line." (page 5, paragraph 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to relate the new purchase order identifier to an original delivery slot assigned to the original purchase order, and to assign the original delivery slot to the updated order so that said original delivery slot is kept notwithstanding modifications made by buyer to the original order. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to ensure dependable delivery service from a supplier to a manufacturer so that a production line did not close down, as taught by Chen.

Referring to claim 2: The cited prior art teaches or suggests all of limitations of claim 1 as noted above. The cited prior art does not disclose that the original order information comprises demographic data of the buyer, model number, quantity of

goods, brand of the good, and desired installation services. However, the Examiner notes that these limitations are not functionally involved in the steps of the recited method. Therefore these limitations are deemed to be nonfunctional descriptive material. The steps of providing, retrieving, and relating would be performed the same regardless of what information the purchase order contained. The differences between the content of the Applicant's purchase order and the prior art are merely subjective. Thus this nonfunctional descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994) also see MPEP 2106. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place any information in the purchase order of Metcalfe because such information does not functionally relate to the steps of the recited method because the subjective interpretation of information does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

Referring to claim 3: The cited prior art teaches or suggests all of limitations of claim 1 as noted above. Furthermore, Chen discloses that "In a JIT system where buffer stocks have been removed, dependable deliveries are vital, as failure of a supplier to keep to a delivery schedule could close down a line." (page 5, paragraph 2). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to enable a delivery agent to maintain an originally scheduled delivery of the goods. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do

so in order to ensure dependable delivery service from a supplier to a manufacturer so that a production line did not close down, as taught by Chen.

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Panelli et al. (US 2002/0095345 A1) ("Panelli") in view of Chen et al. "Manufacturer-supplier relationship in a JIT environment" ("Chen") and further in view of King et al. (US 2003/0110104 A1) ("King").

Referring to claim 4: The cited prior art teaches or suggests all of limitations of claim 1 as noted above. The cited prior art does not teach relating buyer demographic data included in the original purchase order information to buyer demographic data in the new purchase order information, or validating that said original and updated orders correspond to the same buyer based on said buyer demographic data relating action. However, King teaches an enhanced inventory process wherein a supply chain server validates specific fields in a purchase order such as part number and quantity ([0093]). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the teachings of King into the process of the cited prior art. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to ensure that the appropriate part was available, as taught by King ([0093]). The cited prior art does not teach that the validation is based on buyer demographic data. However, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use buyer demographic data in the validation process. Applicant has not disclosed that buyer demographic data provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary

skill in the art would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the data of the cited prior art because the cited prior art also performs a validation process on a purchase order. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify the cited prior art to obtain the invention as specified in the claims.

Referring to claim 8: Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1 and 4.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

C. Car

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naeem Haq whose telephone number is (571)-272-6758. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Fadok can be reached on (571)-272-6755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naeem Haq, Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3625

April 18, 2006